

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/960,715	60,715 09/21/2001		Larry Routhenstein	31644-8002.US01	4275	
22918	7590	01/10/2006		EXAMINER		
PERKINS (COIE LL	P	TAYLOR, APRIL ALICIA			
P.O. BOX 2 MENLO PA		94026	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,				2876		
				DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/960,715	ROUTHENSTEI	ROUTHENSTEIN, LARRY				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		April A. Taylor	2876					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ıddress				
WHIC - Extende after - If No - Fail Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Downsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 TO STATE OF THE MENT	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi , cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 O	ctober 2005.						
,		action is non-final.						
3)	· <u> </u>							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 又	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.							
<i>,</i> —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠								
6)⊠								
7)🖂								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[7]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		o by the Examiner					
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		· ·	CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			al Stage				
	application from the International Bureau			· ·				
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	ot received.					
Attachmer	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (P1	ΓΟ-152)				
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _	• •	- 144/				

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Preliminary Amendment filed 25 October 2005.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,805,288 (hereinafter '288). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claimed invention is somewhat broader recitation of the '288 Patent. For instance, in claim 1 of the present claimed invention and the '288 Patent, the Applicants claim:
- *i)* "generating a Secure Card Number (SCN) for the first entity ..." whereas in the '288 Patent, the Applicants claim "using an electronic card to generate a Secure Card Number (SCN) for the first entity ..." (see col. 11, lines 8-14);

ii) "transferring the SCN and a first entity identifier to a second entity in a first transaction" whereas in the '288 Patent, the Applicants claim "transferring the SCN and a first entity identifier to a second entity in a first transaction" (see col. 11, lines 15-16);

iii) "transferring the SCN and the first entity identifier from the second entity to a money source" whereas in the '288 Patent, the Applicants claim "transferring the SCN and the first entity identifier from the second entity to a money source" (see col. 11, lines 17-18);

iv) "verifying that the first transaction is valid with the money source by use of the first entity identifier and the SCN" whereas in the '288 Patent, the Applicants claim "verifying that the first transaction is valid with the money source by use of the first entity identifier and the SCN" (see col. 11, lines 19-21).

Thus, in respect to the above discussions, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of claim 1 of the '288 Patent as a general teaching for a method of providing one or more secure transactions between a first entity and at least one additional entity, to perform the same functions as claimed by the present application. The present claimed invention obviously encompass the claimed invention of the '288 Patent.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claim 1 would be allowable upon the timely filing of a terminal disclaimer.
- 5. Claims 2-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2876

6. Claims 23-35 are allowable over the prior art.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fail to teach or fairly suggest, in conjunction with other limitations in the claims, a method for providing one or more secure transactions between a first entity and a second entity including the step of generating a secure card number, wherein the secure card number comprises a transaction information block; a counter block; and en encrypted personal identification number block.

Remarks

8. This office action is made final because the double patenting rejection of claim 1 still stands. A timely filed terminal disclaimer will overcome the double patenting rejection of claim 1.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/960,715

Art Unit: 2876

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to April A. Taylor whose telephone number is (571) 272-2403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 6:30AM - 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

09 January 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5